IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO. 76 of 2007

DATE OF DECISION: 09.01.2007.

Manphool Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE J.S. KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.D. ANAND

PRESENT: Mr. B.S.Chahar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

J.S. Khehar, J. (oral)

The averments made in the pleadings reveal, that the petitioner apprehends danger to his life and property. It is, therefore, that the petitioner has been making representations to the authorities, requiring them to issue in his name an arms licence for a double barrelled gun, and for police protection.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 i.e. the Deputy Commissioner, Hisar, requiring him to take a final decision on his two representations dated 12.8.2006 (Annexure P5) and 14.8.2006 (Annexure P7).

In view of the aforesaid innocuous prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, we consider it just and proper, without going into the merits of the claim raised by the petitioner. to require

respondent No.2 i.e. the Deputy Commissioner, Hisar, to take a final decision on the two representations submitted by the petitioner dated 12.8.2006 and 14.8.2006 (Annexures P5 and P7 respectively), by passing a well reasoned speaking order, within one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, along with the copy of the instant writ petition.

Disposed of accordingly.

Order dasti, on payment of usual charges.

(J.S. Khehar)
Judge

(S.D. Anand)
Judge

January 09, 2007.
vig